If σπυρίς is a fisherman's basket in the Gospel, it may as easily be the same in Acts ix 25. In 2 Cor. xi 33 St Paul himself says that he was let down through a window in the city-wall of Damascus in a σαργάνη. According to the Etym. Magnum a σαργάνη was woven of rushes and intended to receive fish. In nearly all the places where this rare word occurs the contents of the basket are slices of salt fish (Timocl. in Mein. iii 600 [606 ambiguous]; Cratinus ib. ii 41 [σαργανίς]; Lucian Lexiph. 6; Poll. vii 27). There is one remarkable exception. Aeneas Tacticus (Poliorc. 29), describing the various ways of introducing arms secretly into a city, mentions that pelts and small shields had been hidden in canvas bales [ἄγγεσιν: cf. the use of ἀγγεῖον in c. 35; Plut. Lys. 16 compared with Mor. 10 B; Diod. xiii 106; and of σκεῦος in Acts x 11, 16; xi 5; xxvii 17] of bran and wool, and others of greater bulk [εὐογκότερα] in σαργάναι of raisins and figs; the bales and σαργάναι being presently ripped up (ἀνέτεμον) not 'opened' (ἀνοίξαντες) as said just afterwards of other receptacles. This language suggests that the σαργάναι no less than the bales were of a flexible material and closed by sewing, and also that they were of sufficient capacity to stow away large shields among the figs and raisins. It is therefore no wonder that they might on occasion conceal and carry a man. Some similar use of a sporta is implied in an obscure and perhaps corrupt fragment of Sallust's History preserved by Nonius l. c., E muris canes sportis dimittebant. the Latin rendering of σαργάνη in 2 Cor. l. c. as of σπυρίς always.

In English there is no reason to change the rendering of $\kappa \delta \phi wos$. $\Sigma \pi \nu \rho i s$ might be rendered either 'mat-basket' or 'fish-basket'; the former being simpler, the latter more expressive of the significance of the word as used in the Gospels. Perhaps 'mat-basket' might with advantage be reserved for $\sigma a \rho \gamma i \nu \eta$.

ΠΡΟΣΚΑΡΤΈΡΗΣΙΣ (EPHESIANS VI 18).

The Dean of Westminster (ad loc.) notes truly that the verb $\pi\rho\sigma\sigma\kappa\alpha\rho$ - $\tau\epsilon\rho\epsilon\hat{\nu}$ is common in the N.T., but he says that 'no independent reference for the noun is given'. I think one or two instances can be supplied.

In Böckh's *Corpus Inscriptionum Graecarum* vol. ii pp. 1005, 1004, and p. 155 (= no. 2114b) are given two interesting deeds of Manumission, from Kertch (Panticapaeum).

Let us remember that in ancient Greece a common form of manumission was to 'dedicate' the slave by a legal fiction to a deity, in his temple, and record the act of manumission within the temple precincts. Hundreds of such inscriptions occur, e.g. at Delphi, belonging to the third century B.C. The custom survived long, and was adopted by the Jewish Diaspora, with the substitution of the Synagogue for a Temple. It passed finally into the Christian Church: Justinian (*Instit.* i. 5) says: 'Multis autem modis manumissio procedit: aut enim ex sacris constitutionibus in sacrosanctis ecclesiis, aut vindicta, aut inter amicos, aut per epistolam, &c.'

The more perfect of these two documents from the Crimea reads as follows:—

Χρηστή γυνὴ πρότε[ρον] | Νικί[α τ]οῦ Σ (ώ)τα ἀφείημι ἐπὶ τῆς π[ρο]|σευχῆς θρεπτόν μου 'Ηρακλᾶν | ἐλεύθερον καθάπαξ κατὰ εὐχῆς | μου ἀνεπίληπτον καὶ ἀπα[ρ]ενό|χλητον ἀπὸ παντὸς κληρονόμ(ου), | τρέπεσ(θ)α[ι δ'] αὐτὸν ὅπου ἃν [β]ού|[λη]ται ἀνεπικωλύτως καθ[ὼς ηὐ]|ξάμην χωρὶς ἰς τὴν προσευ|[χ]ὴν θωπείας τε καὶ προσκα[ρτ]ε|[ρ]ήσεως, συνεπινευσάντων δὲ | καὶ Έλικωνιάδο[ς] | συνεπιτροπεώσης δὲ καὶ [τῆς] | συναγωγῆς τῶν Ἰουδαίων.

I have omitted the opening lines which give the date according to the Bosporan era: this fixes the document to A.D. 81. Böckh restores Περικλείδου as the name of one of the heirs, but Ἡρακλείδου is nearer the copy, and is confirmed by the name of the slave Heraklas.

I have restored confidently προσκαρτερήσεωs. The Greek is barbarous in several places: e.g. κατὰ εὐχής for εὐχήν, συνεπιτροπεώσης for τροπευού. So ἀφείημι, and is for εἰς. We also want τῆς after χωρίς. But the sense is clear. Heraklas the house-slave is to be free once and for all, and therefore master of his own movements, with one reservation: he shall continue to be a reverent and constant attender at the προσευχή. For this context no word is so fit as προσκαρτέρησις. The word occurs in both the documents in the same connexion, the copies reading variously ΠΡΟΕΚ λΠΕΤΗΓΕΩΓ, or . . . ΕΡΗΓΕΟΓ, and . . . ΡΗΓΙΟΓ. Böckh writes: 'ex quibus lectionibus siquis melius exsculpere possit quam vocabulum novum hoc προσκαρταντήσεως (which he suggests) accipiam libens.' It is strange that προσκαρτερήσεως did not occur to him.

He says of $\theta\omega\pi\epsilon$ (as 'certum est', for it is given in both copies without question. He considers it as a provincial and barbarous term for 'reverence'. If it were not too venturesome I should suggest $\theta\rho\eta\sigma\kappa\epsilon$ (as: it would suit the ductus litterarum. Θ PHEKEI λ E or Θ PHEKI λ E is not unlike Θ W Π EI λ E. But Böckh's 'certum est' deters me.

Of course, $\pi \rho \sigma \epsilon \nu \chi \dot{\eta}$ is the place of worship: $\sigma \nu \nu \alpha \gamma \omega \gamma \dot{\eta}$ is the Jewish community that worshipped within it.

EDWARD LEE HICKS.