JONAH.

clamoris mei] clamorem meum M exaudisti vocem meam] II. 3. mel om M 4. altitudinem] altitudine M circumierunt] cirvocem meam exaudisti M 5. forsitam apponam] forsitan non cumdederunt M turbulenta] excelsa M 6. aqua mihi] tr M circuivit] circumdedit M adiiciam M in] ad M + pelagus cooperuit caput meum M postremo] novissime M fissuras | fixuras vectes | serae M 7. et 1°] om M terram] terra M et ascendat ad fin. com.] et ascendat de corruptione vita mea ad te Dominum Deum meum M dmī] + Dei M memoratus] 8. in hoc quod in eo dum M ame] om M o. suam] tuam M veniat ad te] veniet M in 2º] ad M commemoratus M quaecumque ad fin. com.] reddam quod 10. cumi in M supplico] sacrificabo M vovi sacrificium salvatori meo Domino M

HABAKKUK.

II. 4. autem] + meus Bodl mea] om Bodl vivit] vivet semper Bodl III. 2 extimui] timui M Consideravi] pr Domine M excidi mente] expavi M duorum] 3. texit] operuit Bodl M laudis] laudationis Bodl laude M 4. splendor eius ut lux erit] fulgor illius quasi lumen erit M erunt] sunt M illic constabilita est] illi confirmata est M constituet dilectionem validam] posuit 5. praecedet] exivit M claritatem firmam M secundum greges suos] pedes quassati sunt montes] dissoluti sunt eius M 6. defluxerunt] fluxerunt M mentes M liquefacti sunt] defluxerunt M 9. disrumpetur] scindetur M 10. videbunt ad. fin. com.] videbunt gentes et dolebunt populi aspergens aquas contradictionis dedit abyssus vocem suam ab altitudine phantasiae suae M 11. constitit] steterunt M suo ordine | tr M in lucem ad fin. com.] in lumine splendoris iacula tua ibunt in luce coruscationis armatura tua M 12. In comminatione tua ad fin. com.] indignatione tua exterminabis terram et in furore tuo duces gentes 13. populi tui] plebis tuae M ad] ut M faciendos] facias M Christos 15. Imposuisti] misisti M tuos l'electos tuos M 17. ficus | pr quoniam M adferet] afferet M fructum] fructus M cibum] cibos M a pabulo] ab esca Min praesipibus] ad praesepia M 18. exultabo] gloriabor M

THE PESHITTA VERSION OF 2 KINGS.

In two books, entitled respectively An Apparatus Criticus to Chronicles in the Peshitta Version (Cambridge, 1897) and The Peshitta Psalter, edited with an Apparatus Criticus (Cambridge, 1904), I began an investigation into the relation of the printed texts of the Old Testament Peshitta to the original authorities as far as they were accessible to me. The results obtained were somewhat different in the two cases. In the books of Chronicles, the Bible printed at Urmi by the American missionaries in 1852 (cited below as U) proved to be substantially no better than the Bible printed in London by Samuel Lee in 1823 (cited below as L). It was otherwise with the Psalter. The American text of the Psalms is superior to Lee's, whatever early authorities, Nestorian or Jacobite, be taken as a standard

of excellence. Even judged as a Jacobite text, Lee's is bad; the posthumous work of the great Dutchman van Erpe (Erpenius) given to the world in 1625 is a far better representative of the Western text.

The inferiority of the text of Chronicles in *U* admits of an easy explanation. The Nestorians did not receive Chronicles into their Canon, and MSS containing this book were wanting at Urmi. The Americans therefore took Chronicles (together with Ezra and Nehemiah, I believe) from some printed text, Lee's or the Polyglot, and reissued it with a few corrections of small importance. The Nestorian MS at Berlin, 'Sachau 90', which contains 1, 2, 3 Maccabees, Chronicles, Ezra-Nehemiah, &c., written in the seventeenth century, is doubtless derived ultimately from Jacobite ancestors.

The fact, however, that the quality of the text of U varies so greatly from the Psalter to the Books of Chronicles raises our curiosity as to the quality of the text in other books, and though L was found wanting in both cases, it is interesting to learn whether more or less trust is to be given to it in other parts of the Old Testament. No doubt the edition of the Peshitta which is promised by two German scholars, Drs Brockelmann and Jacob, will one day satisfy our enquiries, but in the meantime it may be worth while to record the results of a partial and tentative examination of the text of 2 Kings. The choice of this book was made independently of critical reasons connected with the Syriac Old Testament.

The three following MSS have been used for the present enquiry:—

- (a) The Codex Ambrosianus, published in facsimile by Dr Ceriani, Milan, 1876–1883 (cited as 'A'). 6th or 7th century.
- (b) The Buchanan Bible (Camb. Univ. Library, Oo. i. 1, 2, cited here as 'B'). Jacobite, 12th century.
- (c) Camb. Univ. Library, Add. 1964. Nestorian, 13th century (cited as 'N').

It may be remarked that though both A and B are Jacobite, there is good reason for believing that they are independent authorities. Certainly B varies from A considerably both in the Psalter and in Chronicles. In 2 Kings the headings used in the two MSS differ from one another; so ii 1; 18; xiii 13.

I have also used the Scholia of Barhebraeus (ed. A. Morgenstern, Berlin, 1895, cited as 'bH'), and the Homilies of Aphrahat (ed. W. Wright, London, 1869). The Syro-Hexaplar (S) and the Massoretic Hebrew (19) are also compared. In the case of S some discrimination is needed, and I have sometimes stated its testimony within brackets as doubtful. Where the general wording of a verse differs considerably between S and the Peshitta, it is very difficult to decide whether

coincidence in a single word, or even in a short clause, is significant, unless the expression in question is an unusual one.

Perhaps the most important result of a comparison of the printed text or texts with the three MSS enumerated above lies in the relatively large number of places in which the text of LU, or at least of L, agrees with W, often with WS, where the MSS on the contrary shew disagreement. Plainly the later MSS on which L (and to a certain extent U also) depends have been corrupted from S, or in some cases from LU through some other channel than S. The following passages should be consulted: (a) cases in which L agrees with LU or with LU or with LU (iii 7 ter); iii 21; iv 5; iv 39; vi 12 LU (order of the words); ix 25 LU (order of the words); ix 25 LU (or with LU agree with LU or with LU agree with LU ag

The cases in which L differs from D and also from ABN are very few; vi 15 is perhaps a very late corruption.

The most curious reading (implying perhaps the influence of some Midrash) I have found occurs in iv 4 where, according to codd. ABN, Elisha says to the widow, Pour into all these vessels water. True, the collocation with arouses the suspicion that the words are an instance of dittography, but the turn is quite Midrashic. The new reading, and there was not anything in the cauldron (iv 41), puts quite a different complexion on the narrative. It is possible that the translators of the Peshitta regarded vv. 38-41 not as the account of a separate miracle but simply as the introduction to the account of the miracle given in vv. 42-44.

The following collations are not intended to be complete, even for 2 Kings i-xiii; they are meant to be merely illustrative.

iii 7. [inc] Ito LU [inc] [inc] on, inc ABN om. Ito ABN L [inc] inc E [inc

om. • \(\mu U = ABN \) 49.5

10. | \(\mu \sim L \) \(\mu \)

om. A

U= ABN (2^{do}) *LU* 複多

om. ABN Aph 188

om. ABN \$

L بيره U=ABN ماده U=ABN المعتب U=ABN المعتب U=ABN بهزا

L کینچنه L منه U=ABN

25. damaj L amaj U=ABN

iv 4. ومحمه L [U المحمد محاتا \mathcal{A} [\mathcal{B} ut \mathcal{U}] محمد محامل محمد ABN

224 THE JOURNAL OF THEOLOGICAL STUDIES

5. Lulo L 複名 pr. U = ABNadd $\omega = U = ABN$ 8. JL/ L Illo $U = ABN \mathcal{H}$ add $\omega = U = ABN$ [\$ $\omega = \omega$] 22. إعدا L محیا U = ABN24. LU = BN 3Hal A 27. المحادة (sine add) LU كالمادة (sine add) ABN ومزعداً add سوم LU = ABNمرحس Aph 198 29. لحيدا L اند U ABN \mathfrak{S} رية $L = B^{vid}$ عند \mathcal{L} U = AN سه لهنه No L[U om. o] = ABN32. حديما لا [N / sup ras] [n.l. B حدنهه U = A و 39. ابسطار 39 ت U= ABvid N عسمال 41. aus! [p,20] LU 70 [\$ | \$ | Las] [20] om. عدم ABN 42. عم LU= BN 複 an A S erson his ABN

13. 0 L [S cum ast.] مامد U = ABNماحمLU = ABNwo (sine add) LU 表系 add معصد حصده وحدم ABN [أب محددا الحدم كا (الله علي عده الحدا الحدا المراكبة على عده المراكبة ا om. ABN 17. ملک \perp ماه Lom. $\sim U = ABN$ \$ لاهيا (sine add) LU الاهيالا ABN حکسه وه م 21. 」 من محمل エ 担気 مدنوده U = ABN23. حجرہ ہی \hat{L} حدتموه U = ABNلا لا لا محمود ABN bH 24. ollo LU Illo ABN bH Jode Lug L om. ji od : U= ABN 视器 25. a 40 (2°) L om. $\sim U = ABN$ 26. \hookrightarrow (sine add) LUadd ABN Jolo L om. $\smile U = ABN$ vi i. 🖦 (sine add) L [複名] add U = ABN3. Laces Lom. U = ABN12. امدن (O L 195 ةحياU = ABNsine add) L 🍇 🕱 add U = ABN

 $\langle sine add \rangle L$

YOL, VI.

add on U = ABN $L[U] \gg L[U] \gg AB[N]$

Q

Digitized by Google

226 THE JOURNAL OF THEOLOGICAL STUDIES

15. **p.co** L poo U= ABN ₹% 17. wai (Ima) LU 複 add بيحيا ABN bH \$ 18. ohuo L = A 神気 U = BN-00----- $oldsymbol{\mathcal{L}}$ U= ABN bH ₺ [n. l. B 20. ol/ L U = AN \$ 23. Hohan L U = ABNرما المعرف الدي om. U = ABN29. حيه محل L [\$] U = ABNريو الاعيري $LU = ABN \quad [red 8]$ Aph¹⁸⁶ حنحوال vii 1. حاصلت L حصددا U = ABN bH2. Jian L احزا $U = ABN bH^{ed}$ 4. 2 Will I om. $\smile U = ABN$ 5. potl: (2do) L 我[SLiom!] om. U = ABN6. تومل قمم *L* با tr. verba U = ABNکہ L [سلار فعل حميا U = ABNل السلامل المجاوية المراد المجاوية المراد ا tr, verba U = ABN8. احتد LU الله [كا الله عند ال ABN حة ا 9. June Ljour, U = ABN10. **\sigma lo** *L*

V = ABN

out $U = ABN \quad [\begin{tabular}{l} \begin{tabular$

اهنجه L

12. حمردرا L حمد U=ABN

13. Las L

U = ABN

14. اکه ساه 14. اکم ساه 14. اکم ساه 14. (ビ] 神 [cf. あ] om. ABN

عدام مسلار .15 E

tr. subst. U = ABN

16. حاصط لـ L

حمددا U = ABN

17. المحديد L U=ABN ΔM

محما معم U = ABN

18. ** L

حصدا U = ABN

رابحتع $oldsymbol{L}$

עוכן U= ABN

19. J:-- L

U = ABN

20. A Jose LU TO [S cum ast.]
om. ABN

viii 2. مسلمه L 🍎 [5]

مت حمام U = ABN

6、 ch 2 cono *LU* 股系 | sine ch ABN

لا مدونميا

pr. 🔼 ABN

7. a.a.o L

U = ABN

8. wasas has L

tr. verba U = ABN

9. wasas ha L

tr. verba U = ABN

14. 中心 (2do) L 地名

pr. Less U = ABN

15. cm (sine add) LU 複名 add UL ABN bH

وحده U = ABN bH Q 2

18. كاه قسطا L U= ABN ₽\$ حاه فسا 19. حمته الاي [كيا Zo ABN ₩ 21. **مم مم L**U الا poo ABN توحا ${oldsymbol{\mathcal{L}}}$ حمد حدا U = ABN26. cases LUABN bH 28. pia pユ LU 神気 pia. ABN 29. محما (I^{mo}) *L* 複 U = ABN- LU = ABNpla is LU 模[S] om. ABN سكا ل المادس ad fin. ver. U = ABNix 2. Let L

حسا U = ABN7. pailo LU = AN \mathbf{A} paullo B [\$ wallo] 16. عمار (sine add) LU 投第 [+عمار AN] add اعناه موا حلادك ABN 22. كا سال 23.

سامون U = ABNL \mathcal{L} [複] add on U = ABN [S om.]

25. いんしん エ 独気 post \smile U = ABNوحنزمع ${m L}$ ونام U = ABNکی *L* کمما Locks U = ABN

26. اعداء (sine add) لا 195 add اعزفحكما اعرفحكما U=A[B control N

34. whalo >> L [預知 >> lo] J $\Delta \omega \Delta \omega = \Delta BN$ x 1. جمعین (sine add) LU 現象

add موم موه من المن المربي المربي (عنه الله المربي المربي (ABN المربية (ABN) (ABN)

4. esine add) $LU \not \bowtie S$ add $L \not \bowtie ABN$ (sine add) $L \not \bowtie [S] [U \hookrightarrow S]$

علام (sine add) L \mathcal{U} [\$] [U علام علام add عمد به U = ABN

5. 山山 L = A 神 U = BN 第 U = BN 第 U = BN 第 U = ABN (sine o) U = ABN

6. احیا L U= ABN

9. pao $L \not \otimes S$ om. U = ABN

II. L U = ABN

16. U = L U = ABNon U = ABNon U = ABN

17. inhal? L \mathcal{H} [S asshal?]

makal? U = ABNhad L

مد U حدید ABN مد L مد U ABN

ر محبہ L محبہ U=ABN

19. U = ABNtr. verba U = ABN U = ABN U = ABN

```
230
```

```
20. ميما محبوL
       معم U = ABN
 22. گدست LU
       om. ABN
 24. pue? L
       add \sim U = ABN  (4)
   ($ine add) L 🍎 [$]
       add \searrow U = ABN
 25. 【LU 【视】
       ABN وحسل ه
    (sine add) L 🥦
       add مديوه U= ABN [S cum obel.]
 27. [مسيط [عسيط L
       حما U = ABN
 29. من ميل ميل L
       دمة ما حسل U = ABN
   لا كالحيا
       U = ABN
 31. مونحمم (sine add) L 項
      add U = ABN [S]
 33. حمد بست Ž 🕱
      سب صوبت U = A[B] N  [جمو B]
   L 複
       U=ABN [S cum obel.]
xi 2. 0) (2do) LU $
       ahadlo ABN
  4. 🖦 🕳 (sine add) L 🍎
       add U = ABN  $
   (sine add) L &
       add how ober out pulo U= ABN
  8. Loronian Llo LU=\mathbb{N} \mathfrak{S}
       naominilo A
                                       \lceil n. l. B \rceil
 ريد محملا LU
       ABN مكتلا حزيمية
   waamano waadan o L Ap [S]
       U = [A \times ] BN
 14. مو مام LU
       om. مب ABN
    مبعبع\, L \,
       مىمى U = ABN
```

16. ماماه L = N المام U = AB

(sine add) LU padd Los ABN

لا كمكمل LU الله APN

om. ABN

اه کیما محما حجما کرما U = ABN

18. وك**مل**ل 18.

رکمکہ U = ABN %

19. $L = N \gg L = N \gg U = AB$

xii 4 Laisa L

لعواميا U = ABN

10. $(\text{sine add}) L \not \otimes S$ add U = ABN

 $oldsymbol{11}$ عصم $oldsymbol{L}$

U = ABN

12. الأزوطل L

اه ازبطال [U] = AB [N om. \bullet]

13. وقدارا B

ه محاتا U = ABN

14. مها احمال 祖

oho (tantum) ABN

18. <u>LU</u> 2000 000 LU 神名 000. 000 ABN

المحسالة AN المحسالة B

2۱. مواحة L

ساما U= ABN bH بوعا

LU 70

ABN &

دون المحنوس LU المحنوسي ABN

xiii 2. பலவிய シン L 複

حسلمه U = ABN

5. المصناف عنه على [£ q.v.]

tr. verba U = ABN

6. L = A U = BN

In conclusion I may perhaps call attention to the fact that an interesting Lucianic (perhaps Midrashic) reading of ii 14 is found in the Syro-Hexaplar:—'And he took the cloak of Elijah which fell upon him and smote the waters and they were not divided, and he said, Where is the Lord the God of Elijah, $\delta\phi\phi\phi$? And he smote the waters, and they were divided hither and thither, and Elisha went over.' The words in italics are found also in some texts of the Latin Vulgate.

W. EMERY BARNES.

RHYTHM IN THE BOOK OF WISDOM.

In the first edition of his Grammatik des Neutestamentlichen Griechisch (§ 82, 3) Professor Blass remarked on the occurrence of fragments of verse in the Epistle to the Hebrews. So frequent are they that he was disposed to think that they were not the result of pure accident. Since that edition appeared he has discovered a rhythmical principle which runs through the whole Epistle. This principle is described in the second edition of his Grammatik as follows. 'If the fragments of verse', he says, 'are not purely fortuitous, at any rate they are not the essential point. This consists rather in a mutual assimilation of beginnings and endings of sentences and clauses running through this Epistle. Ending may correspond to ending and beginning to beginning, also ending to beginning, especially if contiguous. Rhythm of this kind must have been taught in the rhetorical schools of Greece and Rome of the time, and the author of this Epistle must have passed through such a school.' To take a single instance, in the opening sentence we have a clause ending with (πατρά)σιν έν τοις προφήταις followed by a clause ending with (ἐλάλη)σεν ἡμῶν ἐν νίῷ, i.e. twice u--u-, the omission of the definite article before νίφ being due to metrical considerations. The subject has been worked out in detail by Professor Blass elsewhere 1.

In view of the many points of resemblance, especially in matters

¹ In Theolog. Studien und Kritiken, 1902, pp. 420-61, 'Die rhythmische Komposition des Hebräerbriefes', where a striking illustration from Cicero is quoted. Cf. Norden, Die antike Kunstprosa, Leipzig, 1898, Bd. II, Anhang II, über die Geschichte des rhythmischen Satzschlusses.