testing. At any rate, it is an interpretation that appears to us to merit a closer and more careful inspection than it has yet received; and we should rejoice to see it thoroughly argued and examined by competent scholars, who would handle the subject with impartiality, having, as Locke happily expresses it, "an equal indifferency to all truth."

WILLIAM L. DAVIDSON.

THE CHIEF PAULINE NAMES FOR CHRIST.

In reading through the Pauline Epistles with a special aim in view, I have found it needful to mark by the way the most frequent designations given to our Lord, to enumerate and to classify them. The results of this side-study present considerable food for reflection, and I have thought it worth while to put them in tabular form for the convenience of others. The subjoined table forms the substance of this contribution. I have ventured to add a few remarks by way of explaining the chart and also by way of calling attention to some of its more important statistics.

- 1. I have followed Westcott and Hort's text (edition 1881) in every case, not heeding the brackets in the body of the text, nor the alternative readings suggested in the margin.
- 2. I use the word Pauline as a convenient adjective to describe all those epistles (excepting that to the Hebrews) which have been rightly or wrongly ascribed to Paul. I venture to think that the figures contained in the table may help to throw some light on the question of authorship in the case of certain epistles: as I shall indicate later.
- 3. The epistles are tabulated, in the main, in chronological order.
- 4. The application of the term κύριος to Jesus is the chief if not the sole essential in the early Christian confession, as

NAMES OF JESUS IN THE PAULINE EPISTLES.

Jesus is Called	l Thessalonians.	2 Thessalonians.	Galatians.	1 Corinthians.	2 Corintbians.	Котапв.	Philippians.	Philemon.	Colossians,	Ephesians.	1 Timothy.	2 Timothy.	Titus.	Total.
κύριος (explicit uncertain	$\frac{3}{5}$ 8	<u> 2</u>).	1)	11)	0)	0)	0)	2)	$\frac{1}{6}$ 7	$\frac{3}{9}$ 12	0	${0 \brace 4} 4$	0	${36 \atop 62}$ 98
ο κύριος { explicit uncertain	${2 \choose 7} 9$	$\binom{3}{7}$ 10	${1 \atop 0}$ 1	${13 \choose 17} 30$	${4 \choose 9}$ 13	${5 \choose 2} 7$	${2 \choose 0} 2$	${2 \brace 0} 2$	${2 \brace 4} 6$	$\left\{\begin{array}{c}4\\3\end{array}\right\}$ 7	0	${1 \atop 9}$ 10	0	${39 \brace 58} 97$
, ὁ κύριος ἡμῶν	7	6	2	11	3	12	0	0	1	5	5	2	0	- 54
'Ιησοῦς Χριστός	5 } 7	${9 \brace 0}{9}$	$^{8}\}_{15}$	$^{12}\}_{19}$	${5 \atop 2} $ 8	$^{17})_{31}$	$ 7\rangle_{20}$	$^{2})_{5}$	$\begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix}$ 4	7) 10	2)	1),,	2)	78)
Χριστὸς Ἰησοῦς	2)	0)	7513	7519	3 5 8	14	13 \ 20	3)5	3)4	${}_{9}^{16}$	$_{12}^{-14}$	$\binom{1}{12}^{13}$	254	$ 87 ^{165}$
'Ιησοῦς	9	3	3	7	10	6	2	1	2	4	0	0	0	47
ό χριστός	1	1	4	14	16	9	5	0	12	23	1	0	0	86
Χριστός	2	0	19	30	23	25	11	3	9	7	0	0	0	129
υίδε Θεοῦ	1	0	4	2	1	7	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	17
κύριος with cr without addi- tions {expl. uncert.	$12 \choose 12$ 24	${13 \choose 9}$ 22	${4 \brace 1} 5$	$\frac{34}{28}$ 62	$\frac{9}{15}$ 24	${23 \brace 10}$ 33	${7 \brace 8}$ 15	${3 \choose 2} 5$	${4 \atop 10}$ 14	$rac{12}{12}_{12}$ 24	${5 \choose 0} 5$	$\begin{bmatrix} 3 \\ 13 \end{bmatrix}$ 16	0	$129 \atop 120$ 249
Ιησοῦς do.	16	12	18	26	18	37	22	6	6	20	14	13	4	212
Χριστός do.	10	10	3 8	63	47	65	36	8	25	46	15	13	4	380

appears from 1 Cor. xii. 3; Rom. x. 9; Phil. ii. 11. ארוני is also the name employed in Greek to denote ארוני and יהוה in the O.T.. and consequently it is a designation commonly used in the N.T. for the Father. This fact makes it at times exceedingly difficult to distinguish the $\kappa \dot{\nu} \rho \iota \sigma s = \dot{\sigma} \pi a \tau \dot{\eta} \rho$ and $\kappa \dot{\nu} \rho \iota \sigma s = I_{\eta} \sigma \sigma \hat{\nu} s$. Very frequently, indeed, the writer does not seem clearly to distinguish to his own mind which Person he would characterize: for in the Divine sovereignty which ruled the Church, Father and Son were to him practically identical. This absence of distinction has obliged me to make a double entry in the table in the case of the titles κύριος and ὁ κύριος. Those passages in which the reference to Jesus seems to me unmistakable, I have entered as "explicit"; those which are less definite I have marked "uncertain." The cases in which κύριος was evidently used of the O.T. σατήρ, I have left out of count. Here—in each of the three classifications—there is room for difference of opinion, and different investigators might accordingly give different numerical results.

5. Many of the names and titles here enumerated occur in combination, but are entered separately. For example, ὁ κύριος ἡμῶν Ἰησοῦς Χριστός is entered, one under head δ κύριος η μῶν and one under head Ἰησοῦς Xριστός; and δ κύριος Ἰησοῦς is entered, one under head ὁ κύριος and one under head $I\eta\sigma o \hat{v}_{S}$. But $I\eta\sigma o \hat{v}_{S}$ $X\rho \iota \sigma \tau \acute{o}_{S}$ is only entered under $I\eta\sigma o \hat{v}_{S}$ Χριστός and not under the two heads Ἰησοῦς and Χριστός. Only when $I_{\eta\sigma\sigma\hat{\nu}\hat{\nu}\hat{\nu}}$ and $X_{\rho\nu\sigma\tau\hat{\nu}\hat{\nu}}$ occur alone or in conjunction with other words, such as κύριος, υίος, etc., are they entered under the separate heads. Similarly, ὁ κύριος is never entered under κύριος, and ὁ κύριος ἡμῶν never under ὁ κύριος or κύριος. These remarks of course do not apply to the three lowest lines of the table, which are concerned with totals and expressly defined.

I have sought carefully to distinguish between $X\rho\iota\sigma\tau\delta\varsigma$

proper name and $\delta \chi \rho \iota \sigma \tau \delta s$ as common name. Hence when I have come upon the expression $\delta \chi \rho \iota \sigma \tau \delta s$ $I \eta \sigma \sigma \delta s$, I have made two entries,—one under head $\delta \chi \rho \iota \sigma \tau \delta s$, and one under head $I \eta \sigma \sigma \delta s$; while $X \rho \iota \sigma \tau \delta s$ $I \eta \sigma \sigma \delta s$ would count only as one entry under head $X \rho \iota \sigma \tau \delta s$ $I \eta \sigma \sigma \delta s$.

6. The totals naturally arouse our attention first. Of all the designations $X\rho\iota\sigma\tau\dot{o}_{S}$ is used most frequently. It occurs 129 times, whereas, counting uncertain as certain, κύριος occurs only 98 times. On a similarly liberal interpretation δ κύριος comes next with 97 cases. Then follow $X\rho\iota\sigma\tau\dot{o}_{S}$ Τησοῦς (87 times), δ χριστός (86 times), and Τησοῦς $X\rho\iota\sigma\tau\dot{o}_{S}$ (78 times). δ κύριος ἡμῶν comes seventh in order of frequency with 54. Τησοῦς alone, which is the commonest title in the Gospels, is in the Pauline Epistles the least frequent (47). Thus:—

Χριστός	occurs	,	129	times.
κύριος	,,	36 or perhaps	98	,,
ό κύριος	,, ,	39 or perhaps	97	,,
Χριστὸς Ἰησοῦς	,,		87	,,
ό χριστός	٠,,		86	,,
'Ιησοῦς Χριστός	,,		78	,,
ό κύριος ήμῶν	••		54	,,
'Ιησοῦς	,,		47	,,

7. It is to be observed that the double name Ἰησοῦς Χριστός οτ Χριστὸς Ἰησοῦς occurs more frequently than any other designation, viz. 165 times.

```
Χριστός Ἰησοῦς, 87
occur 165 times.

Ἰησοῦς Χριστός, 78
occur 129
```

8. Taking up the greater totals which are formed by counting the number of times the words occur (whether as proper or common names, whether in combination or alone), we find that

Χριστός	occu	rs	380 times.
κῦριος	,,	129 or at most	249 ,,
'Ιησοῦς	. ,,		212 ,,

- 9. The proportion in frequency of use of $I\eta\sigma\sigma\hat{v}$ s and $\chi\rho\iota\sigma\tau\hat{v}$ s which prevails in common parlance can claim Pauline precedent for itself. Thus singly $X\rho\iota\sigma\tau\hat{v}$ s is used nearly three times as often as $I\eta\sigma\sigma\hat{v}$ s; singly or in combination nearly twice as often.
- 10. It is interesting to note the various proportions of frequency of the several names in the different Epistles. Taking all the explicit and uncertain $\kappa \dot{\nu} \rho \iota \sigma_s$ designations as referring to Jesus, and lumping these with the frequencies of $I\eta\sigma \sigma \hat{\nu}_s$ and $\chi \rho \iota \sigma \tau \dot{\sigma}_s$ alone or in combination to get the guiding totals, we can present in tabular form the proportion in which each of the three commonest titles $(\kappa \dot{\nu} \rho \iota \sigma_s) I\eta\sigma \sigma \dot{\nu}_s \chi \rho \iota \sigma \tau \dot{\sigma}_s)$ with or without additions, appears in each epistle:—

				λ	(ριστός		THE		
IN				C	CCURS		TOTAL.	I	ROPORTION.
1 Thessalonians					10	:	50	=	.200
2 Thessalonians					10	:	44	=	·227
Galatians					38	:	61	=	.623
1 Corinthians .					63	:	151	=	417
2 Corinthians .					47	:	89	=	$\cdot 528$
Romans					65	:	135	=	·481
Philippians .					36	:	73	==	· 49 3
Philemon					8	:	19	=	$\cdot 421$
Colossians					25	:	45	=	555
Ephesians					46	:	90	=	·511
1 Timothy					15	:	34	=	·441
2 Timothy					13	:	42	=	.309
Titus					4	:	8	=	.500
		_							150
		То	TA	L.	380	:	841	===	452

I have heard it stated that the use of the term $\chi\rho\iota\sigma\tau\dot{o}s$ increased in frequency as the N.T. age advanced. Now from the above table we see a rapid increase from 1 and 2 Thessalonians to Galatians, but the proportion of $\chi\rho\iota\sigma\tau\dot{o}s$ is greater in Galatians than in any of the other and later epistles. We may attribute this swollen proportion to the special polemical occasion of Galatians.

				,	Ιησοῦς				
IN				0	CCURS		TOTAL.		PROPORTION.
1 Thessalonians					16	:	50	=	•320
2 Thessalonians					12	:	44	=	$\cdot 271$
Galatians				•	18	:	61	=	$\cdot 295$
1 Corinthians .					26	:	151	=	.172
2 Corinthians .					18	:	89	=	· 2 02
Romans					37	:	135	=	$\cdot 274$
Philippians					22	:	73	=	·301
Philemon					6	:	19	=	$\cdot 315$
Colossians					6	:	45	=	.133
Ephesians					20	:	90	=	$\cdot 222$
1 Timothy					14	:	34	=	· 4 11
O TV:					13	:	42	=	.309
Titus					4	:	8	=	.500
		To	TA)	Ŀ.	212	:	841	=	$\cdot 252$

The high proportion in the Pastoral Epistles is very striking, but it must be borne in mind that Ἰησοῦς Χριστός or Χριστὸς Ἰησοῦς is with them almost the only title in use. The case of Colossians is remarkable in the opposite direction, the proportion sinking to 133, which is 119 below average.

κύριος										
IN OCCURS		IOTAL.	P	ROPORTION.						
1 Thessalonians 24	:	50	=	· 4 80						
2 Thessalonians 22	:	44	=	•500						
Galatians 5	:	61	=	.082						
1 Corinthians 62	:	151	=	· 41 0						
2 Corinthians 24	:	89	=	·269						
Romans 33	:	135	=	.244						
Philippians 15	:	73	=	·205						
Philemon 5	:	19	=	~2 63						
Colossians 14	:	45	=	·311						
Ephesians 24	:	90	=	.266						
1 Timothy 5	:	34	=	.147						
2 Timothy 16	:	42	=	· 3 81						
Titus 0	:	8	=	.000						
		—-								
TOTAL . 24 9	:	841	=	·296						

Putting aside for a moment the remarkable leap down

in the proportion in Galatians, we notice a steady reduction in frequency of use from 1 and 2 Thessalonians to Philippians; then the figure rises in Colossians to above the average. In the Pastoral Epistles the variations are striking.

Roughly to summarize these proportions, one may say that on the average, upon mention of our Lord's name, $\chi\rho\iota\sigma\tau\dot{o}\varsigma$ in one form or another would occur three out of seven times, $I\eta\sigma\sigma\dot{o}\varsigma$ one out of four times, $\kappa\dot{\nu}\rho\iota\sigma\varsigma$ more frequently than one out of four, but not so frequently as one out of three: further, that though $\chi\rho\iota\sigma\tau\dot{o}\varsigma$ averages three out of seven, it at first occurs one out of five, and later rises to more than three out of five; $\kappa\dot{\nu}\rho\iota\sigma\varsigma$ at first occurs about once out of twice, sinks to occurring only once out of twelve times, and is entirely wanting in Titus, while maintaining an average of over once out of four: the use of $I\eta\sigma\sigma\dot{o}\varsigma$, which averages one out of four, presents no striking variation except in Colossians, where the word occurs barely over once out of nine times, and in the Pastoral Epistles, where it is used nearly once out of thrice.

- 11. Certain striking peculiarities in the use of these names may serve, if supported by other and weightier considerations, to elucidate the authorship of the Epistles in which these peculiarities occur.
- (a) Is it not remarkable that in 2 Thessalonians the name $X_{\rho\iota\sigma\tau\delta\varsigma}$ alone does not occur once, and δ $\chi\rho\iota\sigma\tau\delta\varsigma$ only once? Yet these are on the whole the names most frequently used in Pauline Epistles.
- (b) Is not the extraordinary frequency with which δχριστός (noun with article) occurs in Ephesians specially significant? In Galatians δχριστός occurs four times only; in Romans nine times; in 1 Corinthians fourteen times; in 2 Corinthians sixteen times; but in Ephesians it occurs twenty-three times. We cannot overlook the fact that Ephesians is much smaller than either 1 Corinthians, 2 Corinthians, or Romans, and mentions the name of the

founder (90 times as κύριος or Ἰησοῦς or χριστός) much less frequently than Romans (135 times), and 1 Corinthians (151 times).

- (c) The infrequency of $I\eta\sigma\sigma\hat{v}_{S}$ in Colossians (six out of forty-five times) naturally excites remark. $I\eta\sigma\sigma\hat{v}_{S}$ alone occurs only twice. Can this be taken—of course only if supported by other facts—to point to a time of composition far distant from the early gospel days, when $I\eta\sigma\sigma\hat{v}_{S}$ was the common designation for our Lord?
- (d) But the Pastoral Epistles present the most striking divergencies from the general nomenclature of Paul. 1 Timothy and Titus never use $\kappa i \rho i \sigma_0$ or $\delta \kappa i \rho i \sigma_0$ (without $\delta \mu \hat{\omega} \nu$). 2 Timothy and Titus never use $\delta \chi \rho i \sigma \tau \delta \sigma_0$. All three epistles agree in never using the name $I \eta \sigma \sigma \hat{v} \sigma_0$ alone, $I \eta \sigma \sigma \sigma_0 \sigma_0$ alone, or $I \eta \sigma \sigma \sigma_0 \sigma_0$ These are most important facts in regard to the question of authorship.
- (e) There are, however, considerations arising out of these statistics, which require us to be cautious in using them as guides to authorship. Κύριος without addition, which occurs so frequently in all other Pauline Epistles, is in Galatians only once explicitly applied to Jesus; it can though with uncertainty be referred to Him in only one other case in this epistle. 'Ο κύριος is only once used, and ὁ κύριος ήμῶν twice. This infrequency of κύριος, taken together with the unparalleled frequency of χριστός, may be explained by the peculiar conditions under which the epistle was written. Κύριος was the title used of Jesus by those within His Church; the Galatians were regarded by Paul as little short of apostates, or at least as persons rather standing outside the Church and requiring to be convinced before they acknowledged the κυριότης of its Head. Paul, in proceeding to convince them, approaches them from the side of Israelitish history, and seeks to show how Jesus is the consummation of the O.T. order. He naturally, therefore, uses χριστός more frequently than any other

name (but chiefly $X\rho\iota\sigma\tau\delta\varsigma$, not δ $\chi\rho\iota\sigma\tau\delta\varsigma$). This is an interesting example of the way in which the apparently external matter of names lies closely bound up with the inmost spiritual substance of the Epistle. A similar instance appears in the use of $viòs \Theta \epsilon o \hat{v}$, which occurs most frequently in the great theological Epistles; in Galatians four times: and in Romans seven times.

But these variations of name-frequency in different epistles which are on all sides admitted to be from Paul's hand, forbid us making too rigid inferences from even greater deviations in the case of disputed epistles. Yet if no explanation can be found in the different occasions of the epistles in question, the difference in name-frequency is not to be set aside in discussing the authenticity of the several Pauline Scriptures.

12. Our table suggests a kind of criterion (or help to such) for the date of the origin of our gospel histories. Pauline literature before us shows that in the earliest period of it κύριος and Ίησοῦς are the most frequent titles for our Lord; at the end of it (excluding for the nonce the Pastoral Epistles) χριστός is far and away the commonest; and that not merely as solemn official title, but as historical proper Does this Pauline literature mirror, or did it create the current nomenclature of the early Church? Then in either case we might, broadly speaking, maintain that other writings may be placed early or late accordingly, as the current name in them for our Lord is κύριος and Ἰησοῦς (alone) or χριστός. With due caution I think this canon might help in discovering and distinguishing the dates when our evangelic narratives were written.

Take one instance. Pfleiderer maintains that Markwhich he regards as the first gospel—was written by a Paulinist, who tinged the narrative so far as he could with. Pauline doctrine, and sought to vindicate from the lips of the Master the Gentile Christianity of the Gentile apostle.

Now is it likely that an author, soaked ex hypothesi in Pauline literature, would rarely or never slip into the use of the most frequent Pauline name for Jesus? But in Mark the term $\chi\rho\iota\sigma\tau\acute{o}s$ has its full official significance and has not become a proper name equal to $I\eta\sigmao\mathring{o}s$. Only once (ix. 41) is $X\rho\iota\sigma\tau\acute{o}s$ used by Mark in what is not necessarily an official sense.

The writers of our gospels were naïve and unsophisticated, and would drop most readily into the current nomenclature. To watch their use of the words χριστός, κύριος, Ἰησοῦς, might thus lead to instructive results.

I should be glad if these tabulated statistics, along with the fragmentary notes, serve as suggestion for fresh lines of investigation more thorough and complete.

F. HERBERT STEAD.

AT THE SIGN OF THE BIBLE.

In the story of Mary's anointing the feet of Jesus at Bethany (Mark xiv. 3-9; John xii. 1-8), both gospels speak of the perfume as μύρου νάρδου πιστικῆs. The adjective, if it is a pure Greek word, must mean either "liquid" or "genuine." But neither alternative is free from objection, and many scholars have inclined to find in it a local designation, or possibly a commercial term of foreign origin. Following up this clue, the Rev. W. Houghton has observed that the main ingredient of spikenard, which is the unguent we have to do with, is the root of an Indian plant, which among other names is called pisitâ in Sanskrit. He therefore suggests that the πιστικός of Scripture is the Greek form of a technical designation of the nard, derived from the name of this its principal and most costly constituent.

* *

The incident of the anointing throws a curious sidelight on our Lord's conduct in respect of almsgiving. The distribution of charity, even with every care and precaution, is not an unmixed